

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

KEN OF THE FAMILY	:	
OWENS (MAN),	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 18-CV-3792
	:	
42 U.S.C. 654(3) PENNSYLVANIA	:	
BUREAU OF CHILD SUPPORT	:	
ENFORCEMENT AND THE 45 CFR	:	
302.34 CONTRACTORS THEREOF	:	
OFFENDERS, <i>et al.</i>,	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 5th day of October, 2018, upon consideration of *pro se* Plaintiff Ken of the Family Owens (Man)’s “Demand for Dismissal for Lack of IV-D Jurisdiction, Fraud in the Inducement by Undue Influence” (ECF No. 7), which the Court construes to be his Amended Complaint, it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED** for the reasons set forth in the Court’s Memorandum. Owens’s claims seeking review of orders entered in his state child support proceedings are **DISMISSED** for lack of jurisdiction pursuant to the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. Owens’s 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claims against the Pennsylvania Bureau of Child Support Enforcement, the Domestic Relations Section of the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County, and Judge Linda A. Cartisano are **DISMISSED with prejudice**. Owens may not file a second amended complaint in this matter.

2. The Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Juan R. Sánchez

JUAN R. SÁNCHEZ, C.J.